## **BRIGHT STAR SCHOLARSHIP SCORING RUBRICS**

The following rubrics will be used by the three *Scholarship Advisory Committee* members assigned to an applicant to score each of the three areas:

- 1. Essay written that respond to the prompt given in the application
- 2. Interview each applicant will conduct with the executive director and Scholarship Advisory Committee members
- 3. Three letters of recommendation required to be submitted by applicant

## **ESSAY SCORING RUBRIC**

APPLICANT'S NAME		
SCHOOL DISTRICT		_
SCORER'S PRINTED NAME AND SIGNATURE		
PRINTED NAME	SCORER'S SIGNATURE	

Criteria	1 point	2 Points	3 Points	4 Points	SCORE
	Essay does not	Essay somewhat	Essay mostly	Essay thoroughly	
Quality of	address or respond	addresses or	addresses or	addresses or	
Response to	to prompt given.	responds to	responds to	responds to	
Essay Prompt		prompt given.	prompt given.	prompt given.	
Quality of	Essay is not well	Essay has some	Essay has few	Essay has no	
Conventions of	written	grammatical	grammatical	grammatical	
Writing Used in	grammatically.	errors.	errors.	errors.	
Response to					
Essay					
Clarity of	Response to	Response to	Response to	Response to	
Thought or	prompt was not	prompt was	prompt was	prompt was	
Message's	easily understood	somewhat	mostly	clearly stated,	
Response	by reader.	understood by	understood by	thus totally	
•		reader.	reader.	understood by	
				reader.	
				TOTAL SCORE	

Once the essays are scored by the assigned reader from the *Bright Stars Scholarship Advisory Committee* members, the score sheet is to be sent to the executive director.

## **INTERVIEW SCORING RUBRIC**

PRINTED NAME	SCORER'S SIGNATURE	_
SCORER'S PRINTED NAME AND SIGNATURE		
SCHOOL DISTRICT		
APPLICANT 5 NAME		-

Criteria	1 Point	2 Points	3 Points	4 Points	Score
Appearance	Overall appearance is untidy     Choice in clothing is inappropriate for interview (torn unclean, wrinkled)     Poor grooming	Appearance     is somewhat     untidy     Choice in     clothing is     inappropriate     Grooming     attempt is     evident	Overall neat appearance     Choice in clothing is acceptable for the type of interview	Overall appearance is very neat     Choice in clothing is appropriate for interview     Very well groomed     Overall appearance is businesslike	
Greeting	Unacceptable     behavior and     language     Unfriendly     and not     courteous	Used typical behavior and language — did modify behavior to fit the interview     Attempts to be courteous to all in interview setting	<ul> <li>Acceptable behavior, well mannered, age appropriate and context professionalism lacking</li> <li>Courteous to all involved in interview</li> </ul>	Professional behavior and language (handshake, "hello", "thank you", eye contact, etc.) Friendly and courteous to all involved in interview	
Communication	<ul> <li>Presentation shows lack of interest</li> <li>Speaking is unclear         <ul> <li>very difficult to understand message of what is being said (ex. mumbling)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Facts about scholarship</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Showed some interest</li> <li>Speaking is unclear—lapses in sentence structure and grammar</li> <li>Knowledge of personal goals is minimal</li> <li>Volume is uneven (varied)</li> </ul>	Showed interest throughout the interview Speaking clearly Minimal mistakes in sentence structure and grammar Knowledge and facts are included/shared	<ul> <li>Very attentive</li> <li>Speaking clearly</li> <li>Appropriate use of sentence structure and grammar</li> <li>Commitment &amp; enthusiasm for use of scholarship to further goals is conveyed</li> </ul>	

	<ul> <li>and goals not included</li> <li>Volume is inappropriate for interview (ex. Spoke too loudly, too softly)</li> </ul>		Volume is appropriate	Volume conveys appropriate tone for interview	
Responding to Questions	<ul> <li>Inappropriate answers to questions</li> <li>Did not attempt to answer questions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Gives         inaccurate         answers</li> <li>Attempts to         answer questions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Answers         are         acceptable         and         accurate</li> <li>Answers         questions</li> </ul>	• Thorough answers to questions and provides personal and professionally appropriate details	
Asking Questions	No questions asked	• Applicant asked questions that were not related to the scholarship	Asked questions relating to the scholarship	• Asked questions relating to the scholarship. (Evidence is shown that the applicant had researched the scholarship's goal for students, requirements for fulfilling eligibility, etc.)	
				Total Score	

Once the interview is scored by the assigned interviewer from the Scholarship Advisory Committee, the score sheet is to be sent to the executive director.

## **Letters of Recommendation Rubric**

APPLICANT'S NAME		_
SCHOOL DISTRICT		
SCORER'S PRINTED NAME AND SIGNATURE		
PRINTED NAME	SCORER'S SIGNATURE	

Criteria	1 point	2 Points	3 Points	4 Points	SCORE
Recommendation regarding Character	Letter does not address or respond to level of character of applicant.  No specific examples of positive character traits	Letter minimally addresses or responds to level of character of applicant.  Few examples of positive character traits	Letter adequately addresses or responds to level of character of applicant.  • Adequate examples of positive character traits	Letter strongly addresses or responds to level of character of applicant. • Strong examples of positive character traits with specific detail	
Recommendations regarding Work Ethic	Letter does not address or respond to level of work ethic of applicant.  No specific examples of work ethic	Letter minimally addresses or responds to level of work ethic of applicant.  Few examples of strong work ethic	Letter adequately addresses or responds to level of work ethic of applicant. • Adequate examples of strong work ethic	Letter strongly addresses or responds to level of work ethic of applicant.  Strong examples of work ethic with specific details	
Appropriateness of relationship to applicant regarding scholarship application	Letter demonstrates no appropriate relationship to applicant regarding knowledge of character, work ethic and/or their goals.	Letter demonstrates some appropriate relationship to applicant regarding knowledge of character, work ethic and/or their goals.	Letter demonstrates an adequate appropriate relationship to applicant regarding knowledge of character, work ethic and/or their goals.	Letter demonstrates a strong relationship to applicant regarding knowledge of character, work ethic and/or their goals.	
	l			TOTAL SCORE	

Once the letters of recommendation are scored by the assigned reader from the *Scholarship Advisory Committee* members, the score sheet is to be sent to the executive director.

Once all three rubrics above are completed for an applicant the executive director will complete the rubric score totals on the document below and filed in the foundation's office for documentation of the process. The top ten scoring applicants will be offered the *Bright Stars Scholarships*.

APPLICANT	
SCHOOL DISTRICT	
COMMITTEE MEMBERS	
ESSAY	Scoring Average
LETTERS OF RECOMMENDATION	Scoring Average
INTERVIEW	Scoring Average
	TOTAL SCORE